1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SEKI, NISHIMURA & WATASE, LLP GILBERT M. NISHIMURA (SBN 57905) gnishimura@snw-law.com JANET L. KEUPER (SBN 128417) jkeuper@snw-law.com 600 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1250 Los Angeles, California 90017 Tel.: (213) 481-2869 Fax: (213) 481-2871 Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, ROSELYN IBANEZ, DARLA CODDINGTON and JERRY POWERS		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	ARIANA AMORE,	Case No.: 2:17-cv-5207-JAK	
14	Plaintiff,	(Hon. John A. Kronstadt)	
15		PARTIES' JOINT STATUS	
16	VS.	REPORT RE STATUS OF	
17	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES COUNTY PROBATION	SETTLEMENT	
18	DEPARTMENT, LOS ANGELES	Action Filed: July 14, 2017	
19	COUNTY CHIEF PROBATION		
20	OFFICER JERRY POWERS, DEPUTY PROBATION OFFICER OSCAR	Order to Show Cause Hearing: July 16, 2018	
21	CALDERON, JR., DEPUTY	Time: 1:30 p.m.	
22	PROBATION OFFICER FNU IBANEZ, DEPUTY PROBATION		
23	OFFICER FNU GONZALEZ,		
24	SUPERVISING DEPUTY		
25	PROBATION OFFICER FNU CODDINGTON, and DOES 1 TO 10,		
26			
27	Defendants.		
28			

1

234

56

7

8 9

1011

13

12

1415

1617

18

1920

2223

21

24

25

2627

28

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Pursuant to the Court's Order Setting Order to Show Cause re Dismissal, the Parties to this action hereby submit the following settlement status report:

- 1. A Notice of Settlement of the Entire Action with prejudice, subject to County of Los Angeles' settlement approval process, including Board of Supervisors' approval, was filed on January 15, 2018.
- 2. County of Los Angeles rules require a detailed summary and corrective action plan be prepared and approved by the subject County department as a first step in the settlement approval process. This requirement provides safeguards to prevent future occurrence of the complained about conduct.
- 3. The County Counsel Settlement Memorandum and the detailed corrective action plan have been completed.
- 4. Due to the large settlement amount, a review and approval by the Claims Board and the Board of Supervisors are also required.
- 5. The subject corrective action plan and settlement memorandum was unable to be placed on the May 21st Claims Board agenda for consideration due to scheduling conflicts and limitations on the amount of items to be considered on the agenda.
- 6. The plan and settlement memorandum was approved by the Claims Board at its meeting on June 19, 2018. The settlement is now planned for review and consideration by the Board of Supervisors at its July 10, 2018 regularly scheduled meeting.
- 7. At the request of Plaintiff, the settlement agreement has been amended and fully re-executed to include a structured settlement annuity

1	provisions. The settlement approval process does not require any further		
2	input or action by the Plaintiff.		
3	It is respectfully submitted that the Court schedule a further order to		
4	show cause hearing on this matter in 60 days. The Defendants will submit an		
5	updated status report advising this Court of any further developments in the		
6	settlement approval process.		
7			
8		Respectfully submitted,	
9			
10	Dated: July 5, 2018	LAW OFFICES OF JUSTIN STERLING	
11		LAW OFFICES OF ERIN DARLING	
12			
13		By:/s/ JUSTIN STERLING	
14		JUSTIN STERLING	
15		ERIN DARLING Attorneys for Plaintiff	
16		Attorneys for Flament	
17	Dated: July 5, 2018	SEKI, NISHIMURA & WATASE, LLP	
18			
19			
20		By: <u>/s/ GILBERT M. NISHIMURA</u>	
21		GILBERT M. NISHIMURA JANET L. KEUPER	
22		Attorneys for Defendants	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			